

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,025	11/03/2003	Robert J. Riesenman	42P12432C	6144	
8791	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN T		
12400 WILSH SEVENTH FI	IIRE BOULEVARD LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025-1030	2824			

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/701,02	25	RIESENMAN ET AL	<b>.</b>		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tuan T. N		2824			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addr	'ess		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event on. , a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	3 is/are: a)⊠ accept a size and accept accept and accept and accept accept and accept accept and accept accept and accept accept accept and accept accept accept accept and accept accept accept accept accept accept accept and accept a	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	` '		_				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	RΙ	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inforn	e or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S · No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/3/03</u> :			atent Application (PTO-1	52)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7 and 24-30 has been canceled as in the Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on 11/3/03.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/3/03 was filed same with the mailing date of the present application. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-7, 16-22 of copending Application No. 10/104,412. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Page 3

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "a memory controller" in claims 1 and 12 of the present application would read as "programmable mapping logic" in claim 1 of the copending Application No. 10/104, 412. "a memory controller" in claim 18 of the present application would read as "a memory controller" of the copending Application No. 10/104,412.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu-Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,025 Page 4

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIMENT GAMBLES

August 23, 2004

Tuan T. Nguyen Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2824